Fossil Fuel Energy Usage and Comparisons With the Alternative Electric Technology

With Comparative GHG Emissions

When Resources are Finite, They Need to Be Applied Where Their Value is Maximized

- As will be Demonstrated on the Following Pages, There Will Not be a Sufficient Amount of Renewable Generation to Achieve What NY State is Trying To Do
- If They Achieve Everything On Their Wish List, They Will Still be 33% (100 TWh) Short of What is Needed
- If the Real Goal is Reduction Of GHG Emissions, Then The State is Applying Resources In the Wrong Areas.
- Large GHG Reductions Are Achievable by Combusting Fossil Fuels More Efficiently In Conjunction with Installing Nuclear and Renewable Generation

Converting Internal Combustion Engines to EV's

NY State

CHARGED FROM RENEWABLES OR COMBINED CYCLE UTILITY PLANT GENERATION



Converting Gasoline Vehicles TO EV's GHG Emissions If Charged From Combined Cycle Gas Generation KgCO2



A Minimum GHG Reduction of 41%

Converting Diesel Engines to EV's

NY State

CHARGED FROM RENEWABLES OR COMBINED CYCLE UTILITY PLANT GENERATION

u

Converting Diesel Vehicles TO EV's Energy Usage GWh



Converting Diesel Vehicles TO EV's GHG Emissions If Charged From Combined Cycle Gas Generation KgCO2



19% GHG Reduction

Converting Onsite Oil Combustion to Heat Pumps

NY State

CHARGED FROM RENEWABLES OR COMBINED CYCLE UTILITY PLANT GENERATION



Converting Onsite Oil Combustion to Heat Pumps





15% Energy Reduction and a 37% GHG Reduction using Heat Pumps Powered by Combined Cycle Utility Generation Increase of SYSTEM LOAD BY 38,000 GWh

Converting Onsite Natural Gas Combustion to Heat Pumps

NY State

CHARGED FROM RENEWABLES OR COMBINED CYCLE UTILITY PLANT GENERATION

Converting Onsite Gas Combustion to Heat Pumps Energy Usage GWh

300,000.00





TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION UNDER THE STATE'S NEW PLAN IF THEY CAN ACHIEVE ALL OF THEIR GOALS

Ponowable Concration		Capacity Eactor			ASSUMPTIONS TO ACHIEVE
Reliewable Generation	GWh	CF			THIS LEVEL OF GENERATION
45 GW Solar	47,304.00	0.12			NO NIMBY ISSUES
15.5 GW OSW	62,458.80	0.46			NO LAWSUITS DELAYING
3.4. GW (Quebec Hydro)	23 827 20	0.8	Poflects	Winter Energy Curtailment	INSTALLATIONS
3.0 GW (Quebec Wind)	7,884.00	0.3	Kenects	winter Lifergy Curtainient	
					NO PERMIT ISSUES
9 Gw Land Based Wind	23,652.00	0.3			
16 GW Bio-Energy	35,040.00	0.25	****		NO TECHNICAL ISSUES
	40 704 70				
Nuclear Reduction (1.3 GW)	-10,704.72				
TOTAL GENERATION	189,461.28				GENERATION
Storage	100		0.0528%	% of Renewable Generation	INFRASTRUCTURE
\$28.3 billion			0.0348%	% of Total Load	
\$283/KWH	LARGE SCALE LITUL	ITY BATTERY COSTS			NO SUPPLY CHAIN ISSUES
9203/ NVII					
					SIMPLY PUT:

NOT REALITY

**** Experimental Technology and massive infrastructure spending required-Retooling every major Sewage Treatment Plant and Landfill in the state Generators are approximately 25% Efficient

GENERATION TO LOAD COMPARISON

	GENERATION	STORAGE	LOAD	
	GWh	GWh	GWh	
45 GW Solar	47,304.00			
15.5 GW OSW	62,458.80			
3.4 GW (Quebec Hydro)	23,827.20		51,629.51	Gasoline
3.0 GW (Quebec Wind)	7,884.00		26,864.27	Diesel
9 Gw Land Based Wind	23,652.00		37,366.56	Fuel Oil
16 GW Bio-Energy	35,040.00		99,352.71	Natural Gas
Nuclear Reduction (1.3 GW)	-10,704.72	100	72,000.00	Electric Energy Generation
TOTAL GENERATION	189,461.28		287,213.05	TOTAL ENERGY

NEW RENEWABLE GENERATION vs. PROPOSED NEW UTILITY SYSTEM LOAD GENERATION SHORTFALL IS AT LEAST 98,000 GWh



EVEN IF THE STARS ALIGN PERFECTLY FOR THE STATE, THEY WILL STILL BE 98,000 GWh SHORT OF THE ENERGY NEEDED **TO IMPLEMENT THEIR PLAN**

BECAUSE OF THE GENERATION SHORTFALL, ANY ELECTRIFICATION OF FOSSIL FUELS WILL HAVE TO BE SUPPORTED BY NATURAL GAS GENERATION

	KgCO2/KWH REDUCTION	GWH	KgCO2/KWH Reduction Per GWh of Fuel	Ratio KgCO2/KWH Reduction When Compared to Reducing Onsite Gas Combustion
Gasoline	18,187,669,826.67	176,009.71	103,333.33	10.33333333
				-
Diesel	3,052,757,698.16	61,055.15	50,000.00	5
Fuel Oil	10,990,165,131.46	109,901.65	100,000.00	10
Natural Gas	2,614,545,005.20	261,454.50	10,000.00	

THE HIGH RATIOS OF KgCO2/KWH REDUCTIONS WHEN COMPARED TO NATURAL GAS ARE IN PART DUE TO THE HIGHER GAS COMBUSTION EFFICIENCIES AND ARE IN PART DUE TO THE HIGHER TOXICITY OF THE OTHER THREE FUELS

THE ELECTRIFICATION OF CARS, TRUCKS, AND ONSITE OIL COMBUSTION IS 5 to 10.3 TIMES MORE EFFECTIVE AT REDUCING GHG EMISSIONS THAN ELECTRIFYING ONSITE GAS COMBUSTION

ADDITIONALLY, BY NOT ELECTRIFYING ONSITE GAS COMBUSTION, THERE MAY BE ENOUGH ENERGY AVAILABLE TO KEEP THE SYSTEM OPERATING AS THE CONVERSION OF GAS COMBUSTION WILL ADD MORE THAN TWICE THE LOAD OF THE OTHER CONVERSIONS

SPREADSHEETS FOR GRAPHS FOLLOW

NY State Fuel Usage to Used Energy Calculations

		Barrels	Gallons	KWH/gallon	Total KWh	Total GWh	Combus	stion	Used Ene	rgy GWh
			(40 gallons/barrel)	Gasoline			Efficie	ncy	(Internal Co	ombustion)
Gasoline Sales	es 2017	130,571,000.00	5,222,840,000.00	33.70	176,009,708,000.00	176,009.71	0.22		38,722.14	
High	hway Use									
				Diesel						
Diesel Sales			1,500,126,633	40.7	61,055,153,963.10	61,055.15	0.33		20,148.20	
									Used Ene	rgy GWh
Fuel Oil					109,901,651,314.58	109,901.65	0.85		93,416.40	
		MMcf								
Natural Gas		892,120.00		293,071.00	261,454,500,520.00	261,454.50	0.95		248,381.78	3

Used Energy to Electric Energy Conversions NY State

Used Energy GWh	EV Efficiency		Electric Energy (GV	Vh)
(Internal Combustion)	Charge/Discharge			
38,722.14	0.75		51,629.51	Gasoline Sales 2017
				Highway Use
20,148.20	0.75		26,864.27	Diesel Sales
Used Energy GWh	СОР			
93,416.40	2.50		37,366.56	Fuel Oil
248,381.78	2.50		99,352.71	Natural Gas
	1		72,000.00	Electric Energy Generation
		TOTAL ENERGY	287,213.05	

SHOWS TOTAL ELECTRIC LOAD IF ALL FOSSIL FUEL COMBUSTION IS CONVERTED TO OPERATING FROM THE ELECTRIC UTILITY SYSTEM

ELECTRIC ENERGY TO GHG EMISSIONS IF SUPPLIED BY COMBINED CYCLE GAS GENERATION

GHG VALUES OF VARIOUS FUELS ON NEXT SLIDE SHOWS THE GHG LEVELS OF POWERING THE TECHNOLOGIES WITH COMBINED CYCLE GAS GENERATION AS OPPOSED TO A FULLY RENEWABLE SYSTEM (Kg CO2)

											KgCO2/KWH	
Electric Energy	(GWh)			GWh	GWH	GWh	GWh	Combined Cycle	GHG	GHG Raw Fuel	GHG Combined Cycle Gas	Percent
			Efficiency	Combined Cycle Gas	Raw Fuel	Fully Renewable	Combined Cycle Gas	Percent of Total	Raw Fuel			Combined Cycle vs. Existing Combustion
			Combined Cycle									
51,629.51		Gasoline Sales 2017	0.4	129073.786	176,009.71	51,629.51	129073.7859	0.733333333	0.25	44,002,427,000	25,814,757,173.33	58.67%
		Highway Use										
		U U										
26,864.27		Diesel Sales	0.4	67160.6694	61,055.15	26,864.27	67160.66936	1.1	0.27	16,484,891,570	13,432,133,871.88	81.48%
37,366.56		Fuel Oil	0.4	93416.4036	109,901.65	37,366.56	93416.40362	0.85	0.27	29,673,445,855	18,683,280,723.48	62.96%
99,352.71		Natural Gas	0.4	248381.775	261,454.50	99,352.71	248381.7755	0.95	0.2	52,290,900,104	49,676,355,098.80	95.00%
72,000.00		Electric Energy Generation										
287,213.05		TOTAL ENERGY										

Specific Carbon Dioxide Emissions of Various Fuels

Fuel	Emissions in kgCO2 / kWh	Emissions in kgCO2 / GJ
Wood *)	0,39	109,6
Peat	0,38	106,0
Lignite	0,36	101,2
Hard coal	0,34	94,6
Fuel oil	0,28	77,4
Diesel	0,27	74,1
Crude oil	0,26	73,3
Kerosene	0,26	71,5
Gasoline	0,25	69,3
Refinery gas	0,24	66,7
Liquid petroleum gas	0,23	63,1
Natural gas	0,20	56,1

https://www.volker-quaschning.de/datserv/CO2-spez/index_e.php